



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/217,414	12/21/1998	JAN VAN EE	PHA23.409	8357

7590

09/10/2002

CORPORATE PATENT COUNSEL
U S PHILIPS CORPORATION
580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD
TARRYTOWN, NY 10591

EXAMINER

HO, THE T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2151

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Applicati n N .

09/217,414

Applicant(s)

VAN EE ET AL.

Examin r

The T. Ho

Art Unit

2151

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/20/2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed 6/20/2002.
2. Claims 1-30 have been examined and are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

3. Claims 1-6, 10-15, and 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being unpatentable by Humpleman U.S Patent No. 6,288,716.

As to claim 1, Humpleman discloses effecting tasks (Fig. 10) associated with user selection (human operator, line 24-25 column 5) of task-associated objects (Power, Channel, Volume... of 706, Fig. 10) and being associated with an environment (710, Fig. 10), environmental devices (Dads TV 712, Jims DVD 712... Fig. 10), resources including user interface facilities (interface 802, Fig. 10), communication facilities (Serial bus 1394, Fig. 1), display facilities (704, and 706, Fig. 10), and input facilities (a mouse or other point-and-click device, line 26 column 5); a tasking software system (a mechanism, line 14 column 2) includes a state tracking subsystem (ICON variation representing a particular state of the home device, line 28-29 column 10) supports (provide, line 38 column 10) context determination (particular state, line 38 column 10), a cluster formulation subsystem (software and/or hardware saving the particular steps

Art Unit: 2151

taken by a user while interacting, line 18-19 column 22) formulates clusters (macro, line 30 column 21), each cluster has selected objects (macro file 1210, Fig. 15), being responsive (macro generation 1204, Fig. 15) to context (create macro 1202, Fig. 15), a cluster presentation subsystem (the home device's macro list, line 39 column 22) supports (is saved as, line 38 column 22) the formatting and presentation of task-- associated objects (macro file 1210, Fig. 15) of an active cluster (macro name button, line 40-41 column 22), a transition subsystem (session manager, line 29 column 14) supports (generates, line 29 column 14) transitions (allows users to command and control, line 30-31 column 14) among formats/presentations (session page, line 29-30 column 14) of an active cluster; the tasking software system operating in coordination with the resources (ICON variation line 28-29 column 10, software and/or hardware line 18-19 column 22, the home device's macro list line 39 column 22, session manager line 29 column 14) so as to display via the display facilities (802, Fig. 10) and responsive to context (when the user selects the device button, line 64-65 column 15), clusters (Dads TV 712, Fig. 10) of task-associated objects (Power, Channel, Volume...of 706, Fig. 10) and, by selection (to command and control, line 30-31 column 14) of any such object, to enable a user to activate (perform, line 32 column 14) a task (various functions, line 32 column 14) respecting one or more environmental devices (the home devices, line 31 column 14).

As to claim 2, Humpleman further discloses the cluster formulation subsystem re-formulates (712 is selected, line 5 column 16, and 712 is deactivated, line 8 column 16) the clusters (804, Fig. 10), responsive to context (selection by the user, line 11-12

column 16), and on an adaptive and dynamic basis (the user selects the device button 712, line 64-65 column 15).

As to claim 3, Humpleman further discloses the cluster presentation subsystem supports the formatting and presentation of objects (804, Fig. 10), responsive to context and on adaptive and dynamic basis (the user selects the device button 712, line 64-65 column 15).

As to claim 4, Humpleman further discloses the transition subsystem supports transitions among clusters as to active status (allows users to command and control the home devices that are connected to the home network, line 30-32 column 14).

As to claim 5, Humpleman further discloses the state tracking subsystem supports context determination by gathering data (several variations, line 26 column 10) respecting (representing, line 28 column 10) at least one of environmental, device, system and temporal states (particular state of the home device, line 28-29 column 10).

As to claim 6, Humpleman further discloses the user interface has a graphical user interface (710, and 804, Fig. 10).

As to claim 10, Humpleman further discloses collateral software (security mechanism, line 13 column 21) enabling user recognition and authentication (particular authorized users, line 15 column 21).

As to claim 11, Humpleman further discloses the tasking software system has distribution facilities (External connection, line 57 column 20) providing for distribution of functions and operations (home network 1100, Fig. 14) of the tasking software system and its subsystems over, between or among of a first implementing mechanism (104,

106, and 116, Fig. 14), additional implementing mechanisms (120, Fig 14), environmental devices (108, and 110, Fig. 14), other external computing devices (a user can remotely control home devices connected to the home network, line 5-6 column 21), other computer system(s) and network resources (Internet, line 63 column 20).

As to claim 12, Humpleman further discloses the resources and tasking software system coordinate (Fig. 3A) so as to support tasking of the implementing mechanism (HTML file, Fig. 3A) by the user selection of objects (Button "Click" selection, Fig. 3A) as displayed by the display facilities (Display to user, Fig. 3A).

As to claim 13, Humpleman further discloses the resources and tasking system coordinate so as to enable the implementing mechanism to emulate (Control/Command, Fig. 3A) environmental devices (Home device 204, Fig. 3A).

As to claim 14, Humpleman further discloses a task-associated object (the home device's macro list, line 39 column 22) has a macro object (macro name button, line 39 column 22) if selected, being enabled to change a state (perform the particular sequence of instructions, line 25-26 column 22) of environmental devices.

As to claim 15, Humpleman further discloses a macro object is user defined (steps of creating a macro from Fig. 15).

As to the method of claim 17, note the discussion of the system of claim 1 above. Humpleman further teaches executing the one or more tasks (capable of performing, line 17 column 16) while maintaining context-relevancy.

As to claim 18, Humpleman further discloses polling the plurality of environmental devices (provide feedback to the user, line 38 column 10) to determine

Art Unit: 2151

(determining, line 34 column 10) a current state of the plurality of environmental devices (the device's representative state at any particular time, line 34 column 10); and updating the presented task-associated objects (represent, line 32 column 10) to evidence the so-determined current state (particular state, line 28 column 10).

As to claim 19, Humpleman further discloses transmitting a signal (Control/Command, Fig. 3A) to one of the plurality of environmental devices (Home Device 204, Fig. 202) instructing it to change state (the user can control, line 43 column 7) and updating the presented task-associated objects to reflect the object selection (images of a DVCR playing, rewinding...line 30 column 10), so as to maintain context relevancy of the presented objects.

As to claim 20, Humpleman further discloses receiving information (feedback, line 38 column 10) from at least one of the environmental devices (home device, line 37 column 10) to determine the physical location of the implementing mechanism (respective home device, line 27 column 10), and updating the presentation of the task-associated objects (as to the particular state of the home device, line 38-39 column 10) based on the physical location.

As to the system of claim 21, note the discussions of claims 1-2 above.

As to the system of claim 22, note the discussions of claims 1, 5 and 10 above.

As to the system of claims 23-24, note the discussions of claims 1 and 5 above.

As to the system of claim 25, note the discussions of claims 1, 5 and 15 above.

As to the system of claim 26, note the discussions of claims 1-2 above.

As to the system of claim 27, note the discussion of claim 1 above. Humpleman further discloses communication facilities support the TCP/IP connectivity standard (TCP/IP, lines 60-62 column 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humpleman.

As to claim 30, touch-sensitive LCD is conventional. It would have been obvious to add this feature to the system of Humpleman for the convenience purpose.

5. Claims 7-9, 16 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humpleman in view of Darbee U.S Patent No. 6,130,726.

As to claim 7, Humpleman further discloses the tasking software system further has at least one of an object discovery subsystem. However, Humpleman does not teach a help subsystem.

Darbee discloses a program guide on a remote control display with a help subsystem (Quick Help, line 10 column 13). It would have been obvious to add the teachings of Darbee to the system of Humpleman because the user can use the Help

Art Unit: 2151

Menu incase of not knowing what is the function of certain buttons; or he/she just wants to learn the basic set-up of the remote control.

As to claim 8, Humpleman further discloses the object discovery subsystem supports the discovery (dynamically updated as home devices are added and removed, line 48-49 column 11) of environmental devices (home devices, line 48-49 column 11).

As to claim 16, Humpleman further discloses the tasking system is distributed (1394 Serial Bus, Fig. 14) over the device (102, Fig. 14) and an external digital processing device (1104, Fig. 14).

As to claim 9, Humpleman further discloses the object discovery subsystem supports an introduction function (GENIP process 316, line 35 column 12) enabling communication of "get-to know-me" information (the device information, line 37 column 12) with environmental devices (home device, line 39 column 12).

As to claim 28, note the discussion of claim 7 above.

As to claim 29, Darbee further teaches the help system is configured to support user interaction with the tasking software system by analyzing user's configuration preferences against the clusters and identifying conflicts between user's configuring preferences and the clusters (lines 5-13 column 13).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the Examiner is using two different definitions of context when making the rejection (remarks, second paragraph of First Argument, page 9). In response, "create macro 1202" is not referred to context. 1202 and 1204 of Fig. 5 in Humpleman reference are used to show the steps of creating macro. This macro, a combination of user selected device parameter values, is saved and would be executed at a later time.

Applicant argued that there is no teaching of the "formulation being responsive to context" (remarks, second paragraph of First Argument, page 9). Again, note the discussion of the argument above.

Applicant argued addressing the cluster formulation subsystem (remarks, second paragraph of Second Argument, page 10). In response, macro file 1210 is not the object. The objects are Parameter Values, which together make up the macro file 1210 (Fig. 15).

Applicant argued Parameter Values are not presented to the user during operation (remarks, second paragraph of Second Argument, page 10). In response, lines 11-49 column 22 of Humpleman reference teaches the macro is stored on the respective home device as a button. The user can executed the button to perform a typical sequence of steps to control a home device, or he/she can perform each single step using non-macro button.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to The T. Ho whose telephone number is 703-306-5540. A voice mail service is also available for this number. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday, 8:30 am – 6:00 pm, and every other Friday from 8:30 am – 5:00 pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C 20231

Or fax to:

Art Unit: 2151

- AFTER-FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to (703) 746 – 7238
- OFFICAL faxes must be signed and sent to (703) 746 – 7239
- NON OFFICAL faxes should not be signed, please send to (703) 746 – 7240

t.h

September 9, 2002

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "St. John Courtenay III".

ST. JOHN COURTENAY III
PRIMARY EXAMINER